BEFORE THE ARKANSAS WORKERS' COMPENSATION COMMISSION CLAIM NO. H001350

CARNEALL JONES, EMPLOYEE

CLAIMANT

WILBERT BURIAL VAULT CO., INC., EMPLOYER

RESPONDENT

OHIO SECURITY INS. CO./ LIBERTY MUTUAL GROUP, INSURANCE CARRIER/TPA

RESPONDENT

OPINION AND ORDER TO DISMISS WITHOUT PREJUDICE FILED MAY 17, 2021

Hearing conducted on Monday, May 17, 2021, before the Arkansas Workers' Compensation Commission (the Commission), Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) Mike Pickens, in Little Rock, Pulaski County, Arkansas.

The claimant is represented by the Honorable Laura Beth York, Rainwater, Holt & Sexton, Little Rock, Pulaski County, Arkansas, waived appearance at the hearing.

The respondents were represented by the Honorable Zachary Ryburn, Ryburn Law Firm, Little Rock, Pulaski County, Arkansas.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

A hearing was conducted on Monday, May 17, 2021, to determine whether this claim

should be dismissed for lack of prosecution pursuant to Ark. Code Ann. § 11-9-702(a)(4) (2020

Lexis Replacement) and Commission Rule 099.13 (2020 Lexis Repl.).

The respondents filed a motion to dismiss without prejudice with the Commission on April April 7, 2021, 2021, requesting this claim be dismissed without prejudice for lack of prosecution. In accordance with applicable Arkansas law, the claimant and his attorney were mailed due and proper legal notice of the respondents' motion to dismiss, as well as a copy of the hearing notice at their current addresses of record via the United States Postal Service (USPS), First Class Certified Mail, Return Receipt requested, which the claimant received on May 6, 2021.

(Commission Exhibit 1). The claimant's attorney waived appearance at the hearing on the claimant's behalf.

The record herein consists of the hearing transcript and any and all exhibits contained therein and attached thereto, as well as the Commission's entire file in this matter.

DISCUSSION

Consistent with *Ark. Code Ann.* § 11-9-702(a)(4), as well as our court of appeals' ruling in *Dillard vs. Benton County Sheriff's Office*, 87 Ark. App. 379, 192 S.W.3d 287 (Ark. App. 2004), the Commission scheduled and conducted a hearing on the respondents' motion to dismiss. Rather than recite a detailed analysis of the record, suffice it to say the preponderance of the evidence introduced at the hearing and contained in the record conclusively reveals the claimant has failed and/or refused to prosecute his claim at this time.

Therefore, after a thorough consideration of the facts, issues, the applicable law, and other relevant matters of record, I hereby make the following:

FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The Commission has jurisdiction of this claim.
- 2. The claimant's attorney waived appearance at the hearing.
- 3. The claimant has to date failed and/or refused to prosecute his claim, nor has he requested a hearing for (additional) benefits within the last six (6) months.
- 4. Therefore, the respondents' motion to dismiss without prejudice filed with the Commission on April 7, 2021, should be and hereby is GRANTED; and this claim is dismissed without prejudice to its refiling pursuant to the deadlines prescribed by *Ark. Code Ann.* § 11-9-702(a) and (b), and Commission Rule 099.13.

This opinion and order shall not be construed to prohibit the claimant, his attorney, any attorney he may retain in the future, or anyone acting legally and on his behalf from refiling the

claim *if* it is refiled within the applicable time periods prescribed by *Ark. Code Ann.* § 11-9-702(a) and (b).

The respondents shall pay the court reporter's invoice within twenty (20) days of their receipt thereof.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Mike Pickens Administrative Law Judge

MP/mp